

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United State Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMESSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/966,095	10/01/2001	François Balay .	Balay 2-1	4702		
75	7590 09/20/2005			EXAMINER		
MANELLI DENISON & SELTER PLLC			DANG, KHANH			
7th Floor 2000 M Street,	N.W.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
Washington, DC 20036-3307			2111			
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(
7	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/966,095	BALAY ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Khanh Dang	2111				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mi	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause			
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	II be entered and an o	explanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and			
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	a Nation of Annual but retarts to	doto of filling = b=i=f	will not be			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.			
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☑ Note the attached information Disclosure Statement(s)			nce because:			
The state of the companies of the state of t	フラフェンスピアロメ ヘビ レコイ しょうカオいき ピッカヘビ り	MENT OF T				

mas mas

Khanh Dang Trimmy Examiner

13. Other: ____.

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: For a complete response to Applicants' argument, see the previous Final Office Action, particularly under "Response to Arguments." With regard to the question of "scalability," the Examiner maintains hos position that the the serial channel of the prior art is scalable. It is clear that CompactPCI is scalable. Further, it is clear that Field Programmable Gate Arrays are reconfigurable devices and one can create custom circuitry to meet specific needs. In another word, FPGAs are scalable. In particular, the serial channel provided by Lucent or Lattice Semiconductor (FPGA, ORCA family, cited in the previous Office Action) is scalable..